

Applicant : Saumil N. Merchant et al.
Serial No. : 09/625,644
Filed : July 26, 2000
Page : 6 of 6

Attorney's Docket No.: 00633-025001 / 99/022A

REMARKS


In response to the communication of July 25, 2005, Applicant amends claim 2 into independent form. Applicant has also omitted the superfluous functional limitation of claim 1 from amended claim 2. As the Examiner has cancelled claims 21 and 22, Applicant submits new claims 27 and 28. These correspond to claim 21 and 22 but with the limitation of claim 2 incorporated therein. Dependent claims are amended as necessary to correct claim dependencies.

In view of the Board's decision, indicating that claim 2 is allowable over the cited art, Applicant submits that all claims are now in condition for allowance.

No fees are believed to be due in connection with the filing of this response. However, to the extent fees are due, or if a refund is forthcoming, please adjust our deposit account 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 2, 2005



Faustino A. Lichauco
Reg. No. 41,942

Fish & Richardson P.C.
225 Franklin Street
Boston, MA 02110
Telephone: (617) 542-5070
Facsimile: (617) 542-8906